



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,895	12/27/2000	Yueheng Xu	INTL-0403-US(P8986) 5241	
75	90 06/07/2004		EXAM	INER
Timothy N. Trop			NGUYEN, CHAU T	
TROP PRUNER & HU, P.C. STE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8554 KATY FWY HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805			2176	
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	_	PRE			
	Application	Applicant(s)			
	09/748,895	XU, YUEHENG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chau Nguyen	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 December 2000</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original of the correction is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.↑3	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				



Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. Preliminary amendment, filed on 12/27/2000, has been entered. Claims 1-25 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-7, 11, 13-17, 21 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipate by Powell, Patent No. 5,157,905.
- 4. As to claims 1, 11 and 21, Powell discloses discloses a method comprising:
 receiving a file including characters (col. 11, line 43 col. 12, line 14 and Fig.
 6:receiving an input digital document and identify the character set and language of the digital document);

Art Unit: 2176

converting said file to a first code format if the characters are of a first type (col. 11, line 43 – col. 12, line 14: if the digital document representation is in a Latin-based byte character set, then generate a three-dimensional characterization (first code format) of the digital document using Table 1; and

converting said file to a second code format if said characters are of a second type (col. 11, line 43 – col. 12, line 14: if the digital document representation is in a multiple byte character set, then generate a two-dimensional characterization (second code) using the mapping in Table 4).

- 5. As to claims 3 and 13, Powell discloses checking to determine whether a character set plane is changed (col. 13, line 38 col. 15, line 2: detecting the characters/language of the segment of the digital document to be the single language script range).
- 6. As to claims 4 and 14, Powell discloses wherein if the character set plane is changed, inserting a new character set designator (col. 13, line 38 col. 15, line 2 and col. 15, line 47 col. 16, line 18: if the characters/language of the segment of the digital is not single language script range, then it must be a multiple language script range, and assign source code value to a unique target value (character)).
- 7. As to claims 5 and 15, Powell discloses determining whether the characters in the file are defined according to the first code format (col. 11, line 43 col. 12, line 55:

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/748,895

Art Unit: 2176

detecting the characters in the digital document means determines whether the digital document is expressed in a Latin-based single byte character (first code format)).

- 8. As to claims 6, 16 and 23, Powell discloses wherein if said characters are coded according to said first code format, table mapping Unicode values to said first code format (col. 14, lines 15-38 and Table 5).
- 9. As to claims 7, 17 and 24, Powell discloses wherein if said first code format is not utilized, using the surrogate area of Unicode (col. 14, line 40 col. 15, line 2 and Table 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 2, 12 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell as applied to claims 1, 3-7, 11, 13-17, 21 and 23-24 above, and further in view of Lincke et al. (Lincke), Patent No. 6,397,259.

Art Unit: 2176

- 12. As to claims 2,12 and 22, Powell discloses receiving a digital document (web page) in a plane and row format (col. 13, lines 4-15: digital document representation into segments (rows). However, Powell does not explicitly disclose a web in a plane, row and column format. Lincke discloses web pages includes graphic, text, frame, tables (columns and rows), form, etc... (col. 3, lines 6-33 and col. 21, line 65 col. 22, line 8). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lincke and Powell to include a web page in a plane, row and column format in order to provide user friendly environment for web users.
- 13. Claims 8-10, 18-20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell as applied to claims 1, 3-7, 11, 13-17, 21 and 23-24 above, and further in view of Taieb, Patent No. 6,718,519.
- 14. As to claims 8 and 18, Powell discloses the limitations as discussed above. However, Powell does not explicitly disclose using a surrogate value to find a font file location and offset. Taieb discloses a message is received, and each character of each portion of the message is evaluated, a linked list of available system fonts matching each character of the each portion of the message is crated to determine which font is mot suitable to render each portion of the message (col. 5, line 1 col. 6, line 64 and col. 7, lines 47-52). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Taieb and Powell to

Art Unit: 2176

include a surrogate value to find a font file location and offset so that the text of the

message would be displayed correctly.

15. As to claims 9 and 19, Powell and Taieb disclose dividing font files into planes

(Taieb, Fig. 3: character font files in different script files, and the motivation of Taieb's

system is to identify the language or character set being used in the data so that a best

match to available output fonts may be made).

16. As to claims 10, 20 and 25, Powell and Taieb disclose selectively determining a

font for a particular character from plane, row and column information or from surrogate

Unicode information (Taieb, Fig. 3: the motivation of Taieb's system is to identify the

language or character set being used in the data so that a best match to available

output fonts may be made).

ď

Page 6

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/748,895

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4639. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild, can be reached at (703) 305-9792.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are as follows:

(703) 872-9306 (After Final Communications only)

(703) 872-9306 (Official Communications)

(703) 746-7240 (for Official Status Inquiries, Draft Communications only)

Inquiries of a general nature relating to the general status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Chau Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2176

> SANJIV SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER